

APPENDIX 4.

**PULMONARY FELLOWS-IN-TRAINING
MORBIDITY, MORTALITY & IMPROVEMENT CONFERENCE
EVALUATION FORM**

TRAINEE: _____ YL: _____ DATE: _____

EVALUATOR : _____

Please encircle the score corresponding to the criterion in the fellow’s presentation.

CRITERION	CORRESPONDING SCORE			
1. Clinical data presentation: Problem-oriented patient history and course in the hospital/clinic.	<p align="center">1</p> <p>Not problem-oriented, long-winded, not clear, not relevant to main problem</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>Not problem-oriented, presentation longer than expected, many salient points pertaining to main problem missed</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>Problem-oriented, clear, not that concise, some salient points pertaining to main problem missed</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Problem-oriented, clear, concise, relevant to main problem</p>
2. Statement of the main problem/adverse outcome/dilemma	<p align="center">1</p> <p>Main problem/adverse outcome/dilemma not stated</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>Main problem/adverse outcome/dilemma not stated clearly and concisely</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>Main problem/adverse outcome/dilemma stated clearly but with some specific salient aspects missed</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Main problem/adverse/outcome/dilemma stated clearly, concisely and all salient aspects mentioned</p>
3. Brief review of literature presented about the problem/ adverse outcome/ dilemma	<p align="center">1</p> <p>No related literature on similar problem mentioned</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>Some related literature mentioned</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>Pertinent related literature presented</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Critically appraised related literature presented</p>
4. System-based analysis	<p align="center">1</p> <p>No system-based analysis done</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>System-based analysis inappropriate and lacking many salient points</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>System-based analysis appropriate but with some salient points lacking</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Relevant and comprehensive system-based analysis</p>
5. Interdisciplinary involvement	<p align="center">1</p> <p>No effort to invite or obtain viewpoint & recommendations from other service areas concerned</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>Viewpoints from other necessary service areas obtained but incomplete</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>Guests from other service areas present during conference or necessary viewpoints from them obtained & reported</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Guests from other service areas present during conference and involvement is actively elicited by the presenter.</p>
6. Ability to answer questions	<p align="center">1</p> <p>Not able to answer questions</p>	<p align="center">2</p> <p>Answers to questions vague, not relevant and long-winded</p>	<p align="center">3*</p> <p>Answers are relevant, clear but lacking in some salient points</p>	<p align="center">4</p> <p>Answers are relevant, comprehensive, clear and concise</p>
<p>TOTAL SCORE = _____ (Perfect score = 24; Passing score = 18)</p>				

Signature of Evaluator: _____